Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/568,683	TANAKA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ram N. Kackar	1792	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) Ram N. Kackar.	(3)		
(2) Michael Makuch.	(4)		
Date of Interview: 19 June 2009.			
Type: a) ☑ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes e)☑ No. If Yes, brief description:			
Claim(s) discussed: 1.			
Identification of prior art discussed: yes.			
Agreement with respect to the claims $f)$ was reached. $g)$ was not reached. h h h h h			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant agreed to amend the second groove to being annular</u> .			
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)			
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. SEE MIPE SELDIOT 304, H is reply to be last Office action has already been filed. APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THRITY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE. OF THE MAIL MO BATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE. OF THE HALL MISS FACE OF THE INTERVIEW AND THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on everse side or on attached sheet.			
/Ram N Kackar/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1792			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)